	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0251276 DA	ГЕ: <u>10/28/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:55AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:10AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PLA	ANT #1		
FACILITY LOCATION	: 6945 NW 53rd Ter		
	MIAMI 33166-4801		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: ROB DD: 1/6/2008 / 1/5/2013 (effective date) (end date)	ERTO TOMBO PHONE Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	: (305)219-1968 :
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
	RODUCTORY MEETING resentative(s): <u>Roberto Tombo</u>		(check \square only one box for each question)
	esentative still ROBERTO TOM	BO?	YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?		YesNo YesNo	
4. Will facility be conduc	ting VE test(s) during today's insure authority notified at least 15		

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1–100 T/HR CONCRETE BATCH PLANT W/ 2 SILOS & 2 BAGHOUSES subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: 7/15/2009 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each e	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- Xes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	D No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ah a ah 🔽	
	(check ☑ box for each	
	DOX IOI Cacil	question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		🗌 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Vac.	□ No
 a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		□ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following the following stationary) of the following stationary of the following stationary of the following stationary stationary skip the following stationary	(check 🗹 box for each ing question 2.)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	ermit,	∐ No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•

A	dministrative Changes:	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🖂 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🖾 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

10/28/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

10/28/2010

COMMENTS: On October 28, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to attend the visible emissions test. On site I met Roberto Tombo, the owner of the facility. Tony Mazpule, the consultant engineer was present for the test. Noah Handley, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the visible emissions tests. The concrete batch plant has two silos, each with a dust collector. The VE test on the east silo started at 9:04 AM. And the VE test on the west silo started at 9:37 AM. Both silos were loaded with cement at a rate of 10 PSI. No visible emissions were observed during the two VE tests. Also, no fugitive particulates were observed around the facility. This facility produces approximately 3000 yards of concrete per month.